## **Planning Committee**

**Appeal Decisions** 

## The following decisions have been made by the Planning Inspectorate on appeals arising from decisions of the City

Application Number Appeal Site Appeal Proposal Case Officer	09/00628/FUL 3 COLLINGWOOD VILLAS, COLLINGWOOD ROAD PLYMOUTH Retention of front gates and railings Janine Pomphrey
Appeal Category Appeal Type	Written Representations
Appeal Decision	Dismissed
Appeal Decision Date	11/12/2009
Conditions	
Award of Costs	Awarded To

## Appeal Synopsis

The Inspector concluded that the appeal scheme does not preserve the special architectural and historic interest of the listed building. The combined effect of the thickness of the horizontal rails, the ornamentation topping of the vertical panel end rails, the visual impact of the circular motif and its topping scroll work produce an eye catching boundary treatment which does not reflect the apparent Victorian style of the locality nor does it represent a satisfactory contemporary interpretation of the period. Even as a replacement for the former fence, the Inspector had no substantive evidence to conclude that the railings and gates, which impose themselves without precedent upon the streetscene, preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the Stoke Conservation Area. Therefore the Inspector dismissed both appeals (for Planning 09/00628/FUL and Listed Building 09/00630/LBC Consent).

Application Number	09/00630/LBC
Appeal Site	3 COLLINGWOOD VILLAS, COLLINGWOOD ROAD PLYMOUTH
Appeal Proposal	Retention of front gates and railings
Case Officer	Janine Pomphrey
Appeal Category Appeal Type Appeal Decision Appeal Decision Date Conditions Award of Costs	Written Representations Dismissed 11/12/2009 Awarded To

## Appeal Synopsis

The Inspector concluded that the appeal scheme does not preserve the special architectural and historic interest of the listed building. The combined effect of the thickness of the horizontal rails, the ornamentation topping of the vertical panel end rails, the visual impact of the circular motif and its topping scroll work produce an eye catching boundary treatment which does not reflect the apparent Victorian style of the locality nor does it represent a satisfactory contemporary interpretation of the period. Even as a replacement for the former fence, the Inspector had no substantive evidence to conclude that the railings and gates, which impose themselves without precedent upon the streetscene, preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the Stoke Conservation Area. Therefore the Inspector dismissed both appeals (for Planning 09/00628/FUL and Listed Building 09/00630/LBC Consent).

Note:

Copies of the full decision letters are available to Members in the Ark Royal Room and Plymouth Rooms. Copies are also available to the press and public at the First Stop Reception.